

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,540 09/06/2000		David Tomanek	6550-000017	4174
7590 02/02/2006			EXAMINER	
Harness Dickey & Pierce P O Box 828			BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3677		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<i>78.</i> 4	Notice of Non-Compliant	09/601,540	TOMANEK ET AL.	
	Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	James R. Brittain	3677	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address -	
requ	amendment document filed on <u>18 November 2005</u> irrements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendiused.	is considered non-compliant be ment document to be compliant,	ecause it has failed to meet correction of the following	t the item(s) is
THE	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not includ  B. New paragraph(s) should not be und  C. Other	le markings.	O BE NON-COMPLIANT:	
	<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	37 CFR 1.72.		
	<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identife</li> <li>"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed showing amended figures, without me</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). drawing correction has been eli	minated. Replacement dra	
	<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided w of each claim cannot be identified. In number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Not</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper</li> <li>☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	e the text of all pending claims (in the proper status identifier, a Note: the status of every claim registatus identifiers: (Original), (Centered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	and as such, the individual s must be indicated after its c currently amended), (Cancendrawn-currently amended)	status claim eled),
For http	further explanation of the amendment format requi ://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preog	ired by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPE notice/officeflyer.pdf	P § 714 and the USPTO w	ebsite at
TIM	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOT	TICE:		
	Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-ofiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	nit the non-compliant after-final	amendment with correction	
	Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, corrected section of the non-compliant amendment amendment is one of the following: a preliminary a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amen	ent in compliance with 37 CFR 1 amendment, a non-final amendm 7 CFR 1.114), a supplemental a	.121, if the non-compliant nent (including a submissio mendment filed within a su	n for a
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFI amendment or an amendment filed in response		iant amendment is a non-fi	inal
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will res		inal amendment or an ame	endment

filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment on supplemental amendment.

James R. Brittain

Primary Examiner Primary Examiner No. 01272006

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 85 has been changed by deletion of the limitation ",wherein the extending nanotubes comprise hooks or spirals" from the claim allowed October 12, 2005 without the use of strike-through or any indication from the status identifier that claim 85 was changed. The amendment of claim 85 therefore doesn't comply with 37 CFR 1.121(c) and applicant's response is non-compliant. There is also no indication in the remarks submitted November 18, 2005 that claim 85 was intended to be amended.